

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2625**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Hajime INADA

Group Art Unit: 2625

Application No.: 10/809,406

Examiner: J. THOMPSON

Filed: March 26, 2004

Docket No.: 119285

For: DATA PROCESSING DEVICE

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the November 18, 2008 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejections are respectfully requested in light of the following remarks. Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

Claims 1, 3-9, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Murata (U.S. Patent No. 6,111,659); and claims 2, 10, 12 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Murata in view of Yoneta et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,359,699). The rejections are respectfully traversed.

Murata does not teach every claimed feature of independent claims 1, 13 and 14. Murata does not teach "a function implementing unit that executes a process to implement one of the one or more functions that is indicated by instruction data when the instruction data is stored in the storing unit by the terminal device," as recited in independent claim 1; "a